



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/289,04	3 04/09/9	9 HICKEY		F	97.845
020306	020306 IM22/0501			EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE				GORR,	R
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3200 CHICAGO IL 60606				1711	11
				DATE MAILED:	
					05/91/01
					950110

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 







	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0	9 289,043	Hickey
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	R.GORR	1711

Office Action Summary —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 \_\_\_\_\_MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Transponsive to communication(s) filed on 3-26-01 This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. ☑ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ ls/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above claim(s)\_\_\_\_ □ Claim(s)\_\_\_\_\_ 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s)\_\_\_ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s)— ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on\_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)\_ ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received:\_\_\_ Attachment(s) ☑Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 5 (2 poge) ☐Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Art Unit: 1711

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,922,779. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the blend of the present invention can contain a broader range of hydrocarbon blowing agent. This broader range still overlaps with the claims of the patent.
- 3. Applicant's claimed to have filed a terminal disclaimer with the response of 3-26-01, however, none was found.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnus in view of van der Wouden.

Page 2



Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Art Unit: 1711

See Paper No. 4, paragraphs 5-7.

- Applicant's arguments filed 3-26-01 have been fully considered but they are not 6. persuasive. The applicants argue that and show in their Declaration that the polyester 3 of van der Wouden comprises greater than 50 % of hydrophobe, and that, therefore, it's surprising that a polester comprising only 1-40 wt. % of hydrophobic material will form an emulsion with pentane blowing agent. In the allowed parent, the examiner felt that it was unexpected over Magnus and van der Wouden for the applicants to be able to emulsify the large quantity of pentane with the polyols. The applicants want to return to their original claims including as small as one wt. % of hydrocarbon blowing agent emulsified in the polyol mixture. In Figure 3, van der Wouden shows that about four wt. % pentane disolves in polyether polyol alone, with no oleochemical based polyester present. It's reasonable to expect the polyol compositions of Magnus to be able to dissolve this same amount, and probably more of pentane.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 7. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 872-9310 and (703) 872-9311 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding Any inquiry (should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G.

April 30, 2001

RACHEL GORR